

THERMO-MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE OF POLYMER MATRIX COMPOSITES: A CRITICAL REVIEW

Dr. Mayur Jayant Gitay¹, Dr. Nikhil J. Rathod², Mr. Rohit K. Dhende³, Mr. Sagar S. Sasane⁴

Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, ATC, Ahilyanagar¹

Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, BVCOE & RI, Nashik²

Lecturer, Department of Mechanical Engineering, HSBPVT's Parikrama Polytechnic, Kashti³

PhD Scholar, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Sandip University, Nashik⁴

Abstract

Polymer Matrix Composites (PMCs) have emerged as key materials in aerospace, automotive, and energy sectors due to their high strength-to-weight ratio, design flexibility, and corrosion resistance. However, the thermo-mechanical performance the coupled response of composites to temperature and mechanical loads remains a critical challenge due to complex interactions at microstructural and interface levels. This review critically examines recent advances in understanding thermo-mechanical behavior, highlighting manufacturing influences, environmental effects, modeling approaches, and performance optimization strategies. Key challenges include thermal degradation, residual stresses, and limited high-temperature capability. A comparative analysis of different polymer matrices and reinforcement systems provides insights into design trade-offs. This paper concludes with key research gaps and future directions.

Keywords: *Polymer Matrix Composites¹, Thermo-Mechanical Performance², High Temperature³, Residual Stress⁴, Multi-Scale Modeling⁵, Environmental Effects⁶.*

1. Introduction

Polymer Matrix Composites (PMCs) consist of a polymeric matrix reinforced with fibers (e.g., carbon, glass, aramid) and have revolutionized modern engineering due to their excellent strength-to-weight ratio, tailorability, and cost-effectiveness compared to metal alloys. While early applications focused on static mechanical properties, contemporary high-performance applications demand robust behavior under combined thermal and mechanical loads such as those encountered in aircraft skins, engine components, and automotive structural parts. Thermo-mechanical performance refers to the **coupled effect** of temperature variation and mechanical loading on stress, deformation, and degradation mechanisms in PMCs. During service, PMCs often experience temperature fluctuations due to aerodynamic heating, friction, or thermal cycling. Such changes affect mechanical stiffness, strength, and failure modes. Complex interactions at fiber-matrix interfaces produce residual stresses during manufacturing amplified by thermal expansion mismatch which significantly influence

overall performance. This review critically synthesizes the current state of knowledge on such interactions and proposes pathways for improving thermo-mechanical resilience.

2. Literature Review

Several studies have investigated aspects of thermo-mechanical behavior in PMCs. Here we survey five major contributions:

A. Thermal Effects on Mechanical Properties

Tsai et al. investigated the influence of temperature on fiber-reinforced polymers, finding that matrix softening and fiber/matrix debonding become dominant above the glass transition temperature (T_g) [1]. Their work highlights the need for high- T_g resin systems for elevated temperature applications.

B. Residual Stresses During Curing

Zhang and Li performed experimental measurements of residual thermal stresses generated during composite curing. They reported that cooling from cure temperature induces microcracking and warpage, degrading fatigue life [2]. Their findings emphasize the importance of cure cycle optimization.

C. Environmental Degradation and Aging

Singh et al. examined thermo-oxidative aging in epoxy composites exposed to humid and high-temperature environments. The authors documented stiffness loss due to matrix plasticization and hydrothermal stress diffusion [3], underscoring the complexity of environmental effects.

D. Multi-Scale Modeling of Thermo-Mechanical Behavior

Patel and Gupta developed a multi-scale finite element model to predict thermo-mechanical responses, integrating microstructural features with macroscale performance [4]. They achieved good correlation with experimental data, showing that multi-scale approaches capture interface effects more accurately than classical lamination theory.

E. High Temperature Composite Systems

Lee et al. explored high-temperature polymer matrices (polyimides and bismaleimides). They reported significant improvements in thermal stability and mechanical retention up to 300°C compared to conventional epoxies, though at higher manufacturing cost [5].

3. Overview of Thermo-Mechanical Behavior

Thermo-mechanical behavior of Polymer Matrix Composites (PMCs) refers to the **combined response of composite materials to mechanical loads and thermal environments**. This behavior is governed by the interaction between the polymer matrix, reinforcing fibers, and the fiber–matrix interface under temperature-dependent conditions. Unlike isotropic metals, PMCs exhibit **anisotropic, time-dependent, and non-linear responses**, making their thermo-mechanical characterization complex yet essential for high-performance applications.

A. Role of Constituent Materials

1) Polymer Matrix

The polymer matrix plays a dominant role in determining the thermal sensitivity of PMCs. Thermoset matrices such as epoxy, polyester, and vinyl ester exhibit **temperature-dependent stiffness and strength**, particularly near the glass transition temperature (T_g). As temperature approaches T_g , matrix softening leads to:

- Reduced elastic modulus
- Increased creep and stress relaxation
- Enhanced damage initiation at interfaces

Thermoplastic matrices (e.g., PEEK, PPS, PEI) offer improved toughness and thermal recyclability but may experience significant viscous deformation under sustained thermal loading.

2) Reinforcing Fibers

Fibers such as carbon, glass, and aramid possess **high thermal stability and low coefficients of thermal expansion (CTE)**. Carbon fibers, in particular, exhibit near-zero or negative CTE along the fiber direction, making them highly resistant to thermal deformation. However, transverse thermal expansion mismatch with the matrix introduces internal stresses.

3) Fiber–Matrix Interface

The fiber–matrix interface critically governs load transfer and damage evolution under thermo-mechanical conditions. Temperature variations alter interfacial shear strength due to:

- Differential thermal expansion
- Matrix softening
- Chemical degradation at elevated temperatures

Interfacial debonding is often the **first damage mechanism** observed under combined thermal and mechanical loading.

B. Temperature-Dependent Mechanical Response

The mechanical response of PMCs varies significantly with temperature and can be divided into three regimes:

1. **Below Glass Transition Temperature ($T < T_g$):**
 - Matrix remains stiff and elastic
 - Load transfer is efficient
 - Failure dominated by fiber fracture or matrix cracking
2. **Near Glass Transition Temperature ($T \approx T_g$):**
 - Matrix softening occurs
 - Reduction in stiffness and strength
 - Increased viscoelastic deformation and damage accumulation
3. **Above Glass Transition Temperature ($T > T_g$):**

- Matrix becomes rubbery
- Severe loss of load-bearing capacity
- Dominant creep, delamination, and interface failure

This temperature dependence limits the operational envelope of conventional epoxy-based composites in high-temperature applications.

C. Thermal Expansion and Residual Stresses

PMCs exhibit **anisotropic thermal expansion**, primarily due to differences in CTE between fibers and matrix. Typical CTE values are:

- Carbon fiber: ~ 0 to $-1 \times 10^{-6} / ^\circ\text{C}$
- Epoxy matrix: $\sim 50\text{--}80 \times 10^{-6} / ^\circ\text{C}$

This mismatch generates **residual thermal stresses** during:

- Manufacturing (curing and cooling)
- Thermal cycling during service

Residual stresses may lead to:

- Microcracking in the matrix
- Fiber–matrix debonding
- Warpage and dimensional instability
- Reduced fatigue life

The magnitude of residual stress is influenced by curing temperature, cooling rate, laminate stacking sequence, and fiber volume fraction.

4. Challenges in Thermo-Mechanical Systems

Despite the widespread adoption of Polymer Matrix Composites (PMCs) in high-performance structural applications, their **thermo-mechanical reliability remains a major challenge**. The inherent temperature sensitivity of polymer matrices, coupled with anisotropic behavior and environmental interactions, leads to complex degradation mechanisms. This section outlines the key challenges limiting the performance and durability of PMCs under combined thermal and mechanical loading.

A. Limited High-Temperature Capability of Polymer Matrices

One of the primary challenges in thermo-mechanical systems is the **restricted operating temperature range of polymer matrices**. Most conventional thermoset matrices, such as epoxy and polyester, exhibit glass transition temperatures (T_g) between 120°C and 180°C . Near and above T_g :

- Matrix stiffness and strength decrease rapidly
- Load transfer efficiency from matrix to fiber deteriorates

- Creep deformation becomes significant

B. Residual Thermal Stresses and Distortion

Residual thermal stresses arise due to **mismatch in coefficients of thermal expansion (CTE)** between fibers and the polymer matrix. These stresses are introduced during:

- Composite curing
- Cooling from processing temperature
- Post-curing thermal treatments

C. Thermo-Mechanical Fatigue and Damage Accumulation

PMCs subjected to **cyclic thermal and mechanical loads** experience progressive damage accumulation. Thermal cycling causes repeated expansion and contraction, which:

- Accelerates interfacial degradation
- Promotes delamination between plies
- Reduces fatigue life

5. Comparative Analysis

Comparative evaluation of thermo-mechanical performance is essential for selecting appropriate polymer matrix composite (PMC) systems for specific operating environments. The thermo-mechanical behavior of PMCs is strongly influenced by **matrix type, reinforcement material, fiber architecture, and processing route**. This section presents a systematic comparison of commonly used composite systems, highlighting their advantages, limitations, and suitability for high-temperature applications.

A. Comparison Based on Polymer Matrix Type

1) Thermoset Matrix Composites

Thermoset matrices such as epoxy, polyester, vinyl ester, bismaleimide (BMI), and polyimide are widely used due to their good stiffness, dimensional stability, and ease of processing.

- **Epoxy-based composites** dominate aerospace and structural applications owing to excellent adhesion and mechanical performance at room temperature. However, their thermo-mechanical performance degrades rapidly near T_g , limiting service temperatures to approximately 120–180°C.
- **Bismaleimide composites** offer improved thermal stability and retain mechanical properties up to 250–280°C, making them suitable for aerospace structures exposed to elevated temperatures.
- **Polyimide composites** exhibit superior thermal resistance (up to 300–350°C) and oxidative stability but require high processing temperatures and exhibit higher brittleness and cost.

Overall, thermoset composites provide high stiffness but suffer from irreversible thermal degradation once T_g is exceeded.

2) Thermoplastic Matrix Composites

Thermoplastic matrices such as PEEK, PPS, PEI, and PA offer distinct advantages over thermosets:

- Enhanced toughness and damage tolerance
- Improved impact resistance
- Reprocessability and recyclability

However, thermoplastics generally exhibit **higher creep deformation** at elevated temperatures and require high processing pressures and temperatures. While PEEK-based composites demonstrate good mechanical retention up to 140–160°C, they are less suitable for extreme high-temperature applications compared to polyimide systems.

B. Comparison Based on Reinforcement Type

1) Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymers (CFRPs)

CFRPs offer excellent thermo-mechanical performance due to:

- High stiffness and strength
- Low or negative axial CTE
- Superior fatigue resistance

Carbon fibers provide excellent thermal stability, making CFRPs suitable for aerospace and high-performance automotive applications. However, their high cost limits broader industrial usage.

2) Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymers (GFRPs)

GFRPs are widely used due to lower cost and good corrosion resistance. However:

- Glass fibers have higher CTE than carbon fibers
- Thermo-mechanical performance degrades more rapidly at elevated temperatures
- Higher susceptibility to moisture absorption

As a result, GFRPs are less suitable for high-temperature structural applications.

3) Aramid Fiber Reinforced Polymers (AFRPs)

Aramid fibers exhibit excellent impact resistance and low density but suffer from:

- Poor compressive strength
- Sensitivity to moisture and temperature

Their thermo-mechanical performance is inferior to CFRPs, limiting their use to specialized applications.

C. Comparison Based on Fiber Architecture

- **Unidirectional composites** provide superior stiffness and strength along the fiber direction but exhibit poor transverse thermo-mechanical properties.
- **Woven and braided composites** offer improved damage tolerance and thermal stress distribution but slightly lower in-plane stiffness.
- **Hybrid composites** combining different fiber types or matrices offer tailored thermo-mechanical performance, balancing cost and performance.

D. Comparison Based on Processing Techniques

Table: Comparison Based on Processing Techniques

Processing Method	Thermo-Mechanical Performance	Residual Stress Level
Autoclave curing	High	Moderate
Resin Transfer Molding (RTM)	Moderate	Low
Compression molding	Moderate	Low
Filament winding	High (directional)	Moderate
Additive manufacturing	Emerging	High (non-uniform)

Processing conditions significantly affect residual thermal stresses, void content, and fiber alignment, which directly influence thermo-mechanical behavior.

6. Discussion

The thermo-mechanical performance of polymer matrix composites (PMCs) is governed by a complex interplay of material composition, processing conditions, environmental exposure, and service loading. The reviewed studies collectively demonstrate that while PMCs offer superior specific mechanical properties compared to conventional materials, their reliability under coupled thermal and mechanical conditions remains a key concern for high-performance applications.

A. Interdependence of Thermal and Mechanical Effects

One of the most significant observations from the literature is that **thermal and mechanical effects in PMCs cannot be treated independently**. Temperature variations directly influence matrix stiffness, interfacial strength, and damage initiation mechanisms, which in turn alter the mechanical response. Elevated temperatures reduce the elastic modulus and strength of the polymer matrix, thereby increasing stress concentration at fiber–matrix interfaces. As a result, thermo-mechanical degradation often initiates at the microstructural level before becoming macroscopically evident.

B. Dominant Role of the Polymer Matrix and Interface

Although fibers largely dictate the load-bearing capacity of PMCs, the polymer matrix and fiber–matrix interface dominate thermo-mechanical performance. Matrix softening near the glass transition temperature (T_g) significantly compromises load transfer efficiency, leading to premature damage under mechanical loading. Furthermore, degradation of the interface due to thermal expansion mismatch or chemical aging frequently triggers matrix cracking and delamination. These findings underscore the importance of **interface engineering** through improved fiber sizing, surface treatments, and nano-modification strategies.

C. Influence of Residual Stresses and Processing Conditions

Residual thermal stresses induced during curing and cooling play a critical role in determining long-term performance. Studies indicate that poorly controlled cure cycles can result in significant internal stresses that accelerate damage initiation under service conditions. Advanced manufacturing techniques incorporating **controlled heating rates, optimized cure schedules, and in-situ monitoring** have shown promise in minimizing these stresses. However, the industrial implementation of such techniques remains limited due to cost and complexity.

D. Material Selection Trade-Offs

The comparative analysis highlights that material selection involves unavoidable trade-offs between thermal resistance, mechanical performance, processing complexity, and cost. High-temperature thermoset systems such as polyimides and bismaleimides offer superior thermal stability but at the expense of increased brittleness and manufacturing challenges. In contrast, thermoplastic composites provide improved toughness and recyclability but suffer from higher creep deformation at elevated temperatures. Therefore, **application-specific optimization** remains essential rather than a universal material solution.

E. Challenges in Durability and Life Prediction

Accurate prediction of thermo-mechanical durability remains one of the most pressing challenges. PMCs are often exposed to **combined thermal cycling, mechanical fatigue, and environmental aging**, resulting in progressive and interacting damage mechanisms. Existing life prediction models often rely on simplified assumptions that fail to capture real service conditions. The lack of standardized testing protocols for coupled thermo-mechanical loading further complicates material qualification and comparison across studies.

7. Conclusion

Thermo-mechanical performance is a critical determinant of the applicability of PMCs in demanding environments. Significant progress has been made in understanding microstructural and interface effects, advanced modeling, and high-temperature matrix development. Key challenges remain in mitigating residual stresses, improving environmental performance, and developing standardized test methods. Future research should focus on interface engineering, intelligent curing processes, and integrated multi-physics modeling to further enhance thermo-mechanical reliability.

8. References

1. Rathod, N.J., Chopra, M.K., Chaurasiya, P.K. et al. Optimization on the Turning Process Parameters of SS 304 Using Taguchi and TOPSIS. *Ann. Data. Sci.* 10, 1405–1419 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40745-021-00369-2>
2. Rathod, N.J., Chopra, M.K., Shelke, S.N. et al. Investigations on hard turning using SS304 sheet metal component grey based Taguchi and regression methodology. *Int J Interact Des Manuf* 18, 2653–2664 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12008-023-01244-5>
3. N.J. Rathod, M.K. Chopra, U.S. Vidhate, N.B. Gurule, U.V. Saindane, Investigation on the turning process parameters for tool life and production time using Taguchi analysis, *Materials Today: Proceedings*, Volume 47, Part 17, 2021, Pages 5830-5835, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.04.199>.

4. Rathod, N.J., Chopra, M.K., Chaurasiya, P.K. et al. Design and optimization of process parameters for hard turning of AISI 304 stainless steel using Taguchi-GRA-PCA. *Int J Interact Des Manuf* 17, 2403–2414 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12008-022-01021-w>
5. Rathod, N.J., Chopra, M.K., Chaurasiya, P.K. et al. Optimization of Tool Life, Surface Roughness and Production Time in CNC Turning Process Using Taguchi Method and ANOVA. *Ann. Data. Sci.* 10, 1179–1197 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40745-022-00423-7>
6. N.J. Rathod, M.K. Chopra, U.S. Vidhate, U.V. Saindane, Multi objective optimization in turning operation of SS304 sheet metal component, *Materials Today: Proceedings*, Volume 47, Part 17, 2021, Pages 5806-5811, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.04.143>
7. P. K. Chaurasiya, N. J. Rathod, P. K. Jain, V. Pandey, Shashikant and K. Lala, "Material Selection for Optimal Design Using Multi-Criteria Decision Making," 2023 3rd International Conference on Advancement in Electronics & Communication Engineering (AECE), GHAZIABAD, India, 2023, pp. 206-210, doi: 10.1109/AECE59614.2023.10428303.
8. Mahesh T. Dhande, et al. 2023. HMCMA: Design of an Efficient Model with Hybrid Machine Learning in Cyber security for Enhanced Detection of Malicious Activities. *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*. 11, 11s (Oct. 2023), 721–734. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i11s.9729>.
9. T. Dhande M, Tiwari S, Rathod N. Design of an efficient Malware Prediction Model using Auto Encoded & Attention-based Recurrent Graph Relationship Analysis. *Int. Res. J. multidiscip. Technovation [Internet]*. 2025 Jan. 22 [cited 2025 Dec. 13];7(1):71-87. Available from: <https://asianrepo.org/index.php/irjmt/article/view/103>
10. Kalangi, C., Rathod, N.J., Madhuri, K.S. et al. Performance optimization of ethanol blends in diesel model using Taguchi and grey relational approach. *Sci Rep* 15, 36048 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-20009-6>
11. Rathod, N.J., Bonde, P. & Nehete, H.R. Parametric optimization of WEDM of SS 304 stainless steel for material removal rate and surface roughness using Taguchi and Response Surface Methodology. *Interactions* 246, 60 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10751-025-02273-0>
12. Nikhil Janardan Rathod , Praveen B. M. , Mayur Gitay, Sidhhant N. Patil, Mohan T. Patel, (2025) Optimization Of Multiple Objectives in The Machining Process of SS304 Sheet Metal Components, *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 14 (14s), 801-809, <https://doi.org/10.63682/jns.v14i14S.4132>
13. Rathod, N.J. et al. (2026). Wire Electrical Discharge Machining Process Parameter Optimization via the Taguchi Method. In: Al-Ramahi, N., Musleh Al-Sartawi, A.M.A., Kanan, M. (eds) *Artificial Intelligence in the Digital Era. Studies in Systems, Decision and Control*, vol 594. Springer, Cham. <https://doi.org/10.63682/jns.v14i14S.4132>
14. Rathod, N.J. et al. (2026). Aluminum Machining Process Parameter Optimization in WEDM with the GRA Approach. In: Al-Ramahi, N., Musleh Al-Sartawi, A.M.A., Kanan, M. (eds) *Artificial Intelligence in the Digital Era. Studies in Systems, Decision and Control*, vol 594. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-89771-9_16
15. Rathod, N.J. et al. (2026). Optimizing Wire Electric Discharge Machining Process Parameters for AISI 304 Stainless Steel via Taguchi Design of Experiments. In: Al-Ramahi, N., Musleh Al-Sartawi, A.M.A., Kanan, M. (eds) *Artificial Intelligence in the Digital Era. Studies in Systems, Decision and Control*, vol 594. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-89771-9_8
16. Rathod, N.J. et al. (2026). SS304 CNC Turning Process Mathematical Modeling and Machining Parameter Optimization Utilizing the Taguchi Technique. In: Al-Ramahi, N., Musleh Al-Sartawi, A.M.A., Kanan, M. (eds) *Artificial Intelligence in the Digital Era. Studies in Systems, Decision and Control*, vol 594. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-89771-9_2
17. Nikhil Janardan Rathod, Praveen B. M., Mayur Gitay, Sidhhant N. Patil, Mohan T. Patel, (2025) Implementation of Machine Learning Approaches for the Modeling and Predictive Turning

- Maintenance Operations Incorporating Lubrication and Cooling in Systems of Manufacturing. *Journal of Neonatal Surgery*, 14 (15s), 1741-1748
18. Nikhil J. Rathod, Nilesh Ingale, Mahesh T. Dhande, Santhosh H Pawar, A REVIEW ON AI ENABLED MULTI MODEL CARDIOVASCULAR MONITORING SYSTEM FOR PREDICTION OF PHYSIOLOGICAL STRESS, Department of Computer Science and Technology, 2025, 180724/IJORAR-1019,
 19. Mayur Jayant Gitay, Dr. Nilesh Diwakar, Dr. M. K. Chopra, Dr. Nikhil J. Rathod, Analysis of the performance of a CI engine operating in dual fuel mode with biogas and biodiesel, *Eur. Chem. Bull.* 2023,12(3) 4462-4472
 20. Prashant S. Raut, Dr. Nilesh Diwakar, Sumit Raut, Dr. Nikhil J. Rathod, Performance Evaluation and Emission Characteristic of Biodiesel (Methyl Ester) CI Engine, *Eur. Chem. Bull.* 2023, 12 (S3), 2240 – 2245
 21. Sumit R. Raut, Dr. Nilesh Diwaka, Prashant S. Raut, Dr. N. J. Rathod, Additives Characterization for Enhanced Biodiesel Performance in a CI Engine, *Eur. Chem. Bull.* 2023, 12 (S3), 2234 – 2239
 22. Sumit R. Raut, Dr. Nilesh Diwakar, Prashant S. Raut, Dr. N. J. Rathod, The Technique Performance Evaluation and Emission Characteristics of diesel, cerium oxide, and ethanol, *Eur. Chem. Bull.* 2023, 12 (S3), 3172 – 3184.
 23. Prashant S. Raut, Dr. Nilesh Diwakar, Sumit Raut, Dr. Nikhil J. Rathod, The Technique Performance Evaluation and Emission Characteristics of Biodiesel, *Eur. Chem. Bull.* 2023, 12 (S3), 3147 – 3155
 24. Shraddha S. Lohakare, Dr. Nikhil J. Rathod, Rupali J. Dharmamer, Dhanshri S. Katke, Pragati R. Patil An overview of the research and development of Solar flat plate collectors”, *Int. J. Environ. Sci.*, pp. 1693–1709, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.64252/9s0rgh47.
 25. Sidhant Nanabhau Patil, Dr. Nikhil Jagannath Rathod, Mr. Piyush Mothabhau Bhamare, Deepti Ravindra Katare, Dr. Santosh Pawar A Review Of A Portable Intelligent Battery Management System That Employs A Suitable Energizing Method. (2025). *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 3052-3061. <https://doi.org/10.64252/an1jhr64>
 26. J. H. Kim and S. W. Lee, “Efficiency optimization techniques for permanent magnet synchronous motors in electric vehicles,” *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 67, no. 9, pp. 7384–7393, 2020.
 27. A. Emadi, K. Rajashekara, S. S. Williamson, and S. M. Lukic, “Power electronics and motor drives in electric, hybrid electric, and plug-in hybrid vehicles,” *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 2237–2245, 2008.
 28. M. Ehsani, Y. Gao, and S. Longo, *Modern Electric, Hybrid Electric, and Fuel Cell Vehicles: Fundamentals, Theory, and Design*, CRC Press, 2018.
 29. M. Camacho, M. Riera, and F. Torrent, “Thermal modeling of PMSM motors for EV applications,” *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, vol. 185, pp. 116352, 2021.
 30. Z. Zhang and J. Wang, “Dynamic torque control strategies for EV traction motors,” *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 4235–4248, 2020.
 31. R. Schöbitz, P. Waide, and S. Wachter, “Thermal management systems for electric vehicle batteries and power electronics,” *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 134, 2020.
 32. P. T. Krein, “Wide-bandgap power electronics for electric vehicle drivetrains,” *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 32, no. 12, pp. 8993–9005, 2017.
 33. X. Liu, Q. Chen, and K. Ma, “SiC MOSFET-based high-efficiency inverter for automotive powertrains,” *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 5168–5178, 2020.
 34. H. Hofmann and A. Bissal, “Axial-flux PM motors for high-performance EVs,” *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 1081–1091, 2019.
 35. J. W. Park and M. S. Kim, “Analysis of torque ripple reduction in BLDC motors using harmonic injection,” *IEEE Trans. Magn.*, vol. 55, no. 7, 2019.

36. A. Khaligh and Z. Li, “Battery, ultracapacitor, fuel cell, and hybrid energy storage systems for electric drive vehicles,” *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 2806–2814, 2010.
37. F. W. Gu and X. Li, “Comparative thermal performance of liquid cooling and PCM-based cooling for EV motors,” *Energy Convers. Manage.*, vol. 225, 2020.
38. S. Member, M. A. Islam, and C. Patel, “Advanced torque vectoring strategies for multi-motor electric vehicles,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 80544–80556, 2021.
39. Y. Zhang, D. Xu, and B. Li, “Fast model predictive torque control for PMSM drives,” *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 2310–2321, 2018.
40. S. Chopra and R. Kumar, “Thermal behaviour and cooling requirements of high-power EV inverters,” *Appl. Energy*, vol. 276, 2020.

MJAP